AGE-SPECIFIC BLOOD PRESSURE DIFFERENCES IN TWO DOG BREEDS
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In this study, German shepherd (GSD) and Labrador retriever (LAB) were selected for the study and were categorized according to their age into four groups (Group 1: above 6 months and below 1 year of age, Group 2: above 1 year and below 3 years of age, Group 3: above 3 years and below 5 years of age and Group 4: above 5 years) with five dogs from each breed in each group. The systolic and diastolic pressures were higher in GSD in all the groups. The pulse pressure was found to be lower in LAB of all age groups except group-1. The mean arterial pressure values were found to be significantly higher in GSD of all age groups. The cardiovascular status in dogs can only be interpreted if breeds and age groups are taken into account considering the existence of a large number of breeds.
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Blood pressure is considered as one of the many important tools in the assessment of cardiovascular status. Some of the vets use the pressure measurement as a reference point during surgery. Oscillometric determination of blood pressure in healthy dogs was recorded by Doiguchi et al., 2001. Bodey et al. (1996) also measured blood pressure in dogs and cats. Gaur and Varshney (2001) conducted studies on hypertension in dogs. The mean arterial pressure and the pulse pressure are also very important diagnostic tools for the determination of cardiovascular status (Hamlin et al., 1982). Therefore, the aim of the present study is to compare the breed-related changes in normal blood pressure values in different age groups of two popular large-sized dog breeds in India.

Materials and Methods

Forty, privately owned, apparently healthy exotic breeds of dogs with twenty individuals each from the breeds, German Shepherd and Labrador Retriever were selected for the study. The dogs were categorized according to their age into four groups (Group 1: above 6 months and below 1 year of age, Group 2: above 1 year and below 3 years of age, Group 3: above 3 years and below 5 years of age and Group 4: above 5 years) with five dogs in each group.

The mean systolic and diastolic systemic arterial blood pressures were recorded by indirect automatically inflating and deflating sphygmonanometry, using an oscillometric principle. The cuff of the digital automatic blood pressure monitor (Dr Morepen, Switzerland- BP 3BG1) was placed on the medial aspect of forelimb over the brachial artery. The mean arterial pressure was calculated by the formula 2 multiplied with the Diastolic pressure + Systolic Pressure divided by 3, i.e.,

$$MAP \approx \frac{(2 \times DP) + SP}{3}$$

The pulse pressure was calculated as difference between systolic and diastolic pressure.

All the data of the entire study were analyzed using Microsoft excel and processed using SPSS 11.00 for windows, adopting a significance level of 5 percent (P<0.05) in all cases.

Results and Discussion

All the results obtained are given in the tables 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Systolic Pressure: In group-1, the systolic pressure of LAB differed significantly (P<0.05) from GSD. In group-2, t-test revealed that the systolic pressure of LAB differed significantly (P<0.05) from GSD. In group-3, GSD and LAB had no significant
difference from each as far as systolic pressure was concerned. In group-4, t-test revealed that the systolic pressure of LAB is significantly lower than GSD.

**Table-1: Normal blood pressure values in different breeds of dogs above 6 months and below 1 year of age (GROUP-1)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Pulse Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Mean Arterial Pressure (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd</td>
<td>134(^a) ± 1.63</td>
<td>86.4(^a) ± 1.5</td>
<td>47.40(^a) ± 0.5</td>
<td>102.26(^a) ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labrador Retriever</td>
<td>121.4(^b) ± 1.44</td>
<td>71.2(^b) ± 1.5</td>
<td>50.2(^b) ± 0.5</td>
<td>87.9(^b) ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Different superscripts a and b read column wise differ significantly at 5% level (P<0.05).

**Table-2: Normal blood pressure values in different breeds of dogs above 1 year and below 3 years of age (GROUP-2)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Pulse Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Mean Arterial Pressure (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd</td>
<td>142.8(^a) ± 2.7</td>
<td>97.6(^a) ± 5.36</td>
<td>45.2(^a) ± 5.95</td>
<td>112.66(^a) ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labrador Retriever</td>
<td>116.2(^b) ± 2.7</td>
<td>78.4(^b) ± 5.36</td>
<td>37.8(^b) ± 5.95</td>
<td>90.99(^b) ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Different superscripts a and b read column wise differ significantly at 5% level (P<0.05).

**Table-3: Normal blood pressure values in different breeds of dogs above 3 years and below 5 years of age (GROUP-3)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Pulse Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Mean Arterial Pressure (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd</td>
<td>135.2(^a) ± 2.83</td>
<td>81.4(^a) ± 2.002</td>
<td>53.8(^a) ± 2.29</td>
<td>99.33(^a) ± 2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labrador Retriever</td>
<td>129(^b) ± 2.83</td>
<td>78.4(^b) ± 2.002</td>
<td>50.6(^b) ± 2.29</td>
<td>95.25(^b) ± 2.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Different superscripts a and b read column wise differ significantly at 5% level (P<0.05).

**Table-4: Normal blood pressure values in different breeds of dogs above 5 years of age (GROUP-4)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Pulse Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Mean Arterial Pressure (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd</td>
<td>149.6(^a) ± 3.47</td>
<td>90.2(^a) ± 2.37</td>
<td>58.2(^a) ± 1.91</td>
<td>109.99(^a) ± 2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labrador Retriever</td>
<td>128(^b) ± 3.47</td>
<td>84(^b) ± 2.3</td>
<td>49.6(^b) ± 1.91</td>
<td>94.91(^b) ± 2.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Different superscripts a and b read column wise differ significantly at 5% level (P<0.05).

**Diastolic Pressure:** The diastolic pressure of GSD and LAB differed significantly (P<0.05) from each other in group-1. In group-2, the diastolic Pressure of GSD differed significantly (P<0.05) from LAB. In group-3, no significant difference existed between diastolic pressures of GSD with respect to LAB. In group-4, the diastolic pressure of LAB was significantly lower than GSD.
**Pulse Pressure:** The pulse pressure of GSD differed significantly \((P<0.05)\) from LAB in group-1. In group-2, no significant difference was observed \((P>0.05)\) between GSD and LAB. In group-3, the pulse pressure of GSD had no significant difference from LAB. In group-4, the pulse pressure had no significant difference between GSD and LAB.

**Mean Arterial Pressure:** The Mean Arterial Pressure of GSD and LAB had significant difference \((P<0.05)\) amongst them in group-1. In group-2, the mean arterial pressure of GSD and LAB had no significant difference \((P>0.05)\) between them. In group-3, Labrador (mean 95.25 ± 2.04 mmHg) and GSD’s mean arterial pressure had no significant difference. In group-4, the mean arterial pressure of LAB was significantly lower than GSD.

The present findings on systolic pressure are in agreement with Bodey et al. (1996). The diastolic pressure was higher in GSD in group 1 and 2, while Labrador recorded lower values in almost all the groups. The pulse pressure was found to be higher in GSD of all age groups except group-1. The mean arterial pressure values were found to be significantly higher in GSD of all age groups.

The cardiovascular status in dogs can only be interpreted if breeds and age groups are taken into account considering the existence of a large number of breeds. The blood pressure of a small breed may differ from a large breed, and also differ between same-sized breeds with advancement of age. The arterial pressure is equal to cardiac output multiplied with the total peripheral vascular resistance. Moreover, cardiac output is determined by stroke volume times heart rate. The heart rate and stroke volume are different in different breed and size of dog which results in variable cardiac output.

This might be the reason behind variation in blood pressure in different breeds and sizes of dogs. So, only one standard value of blood pressure is never going to help the cause. The study focussed on two most popular dog breeds of India. It not only gives us the normal blood pressure values for the healthy dogs of the breeds taken for study but also elucidates how the blood pressure values differ amongst the breeds. Moreover, the study also apprises about the blood pressure changes at different stages of a dog’s life span.
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Chronic gastrointestinal disorders in dogs are characterized by mucosal inflammation and usually manifested as persistent vomiting and diarrhea (more than 3 weeks duration), weight loss, debility and death. Potential causes of chronic vomiting and diarrhea in dogs are inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), dietary indiscretion, foreign body, toxin ingestion, food allergy, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug usage, metabolic diseases (renal and hepato-biliary disease), gastrointestinal infections (viral, bacterial, protozoal, fungal and helmintic) and neoplastic conditions (Leib et al., 2010).

Most of these chronic gastrointestinal conditions are grouped under canine idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) characterized by persistent or recurrent clinical signs of vomiting and/or diarrhea along with histological evidence of inflammation in the lamina propria of the small intestine, large intestine or both. These diseases are classified according to the predominant type of inflammatory cell present (CD4+/CD8+/CD3+T-lymphocytes/eosinophils/plasma cells/macrophages/neutrophils) in the intestinal biopsy (German et al., 2003). Increased numbers of lymphocytes and plasma cells have been noted in lymphoplasmacytic enteritis which is the most frequent form of IBD with a prevalence of 56.2 percent (Kawano et al., 2016, Simpson and Jergens, 2011).

Dogs rarely suffer from enteropathies characterized by neutrophilic or granulomatous inflammation. They may either occur due to bacterial infections, as with E. coli in granulomatous colitis (GC) in boxers, Campylobacter Streptococcus, Yersinia, and Mycobacteria, or with fungi such as Histoplasma or algae like Prototheca infections. Eosinophilic enteritis is characterized by excessive accumulation of eosinophils in the lamina propria and linked to an immunologic reaction to parasites or diet (Kleinschmidt et al., 2007). The disease may also involve other areas of the GI tract. The primary clinical signs are chronic small bowel diarrhea along with vomiting or weight loss. In some cases, large bowel signs or vomiting predominate. Physical findings range from normal to focally or diffusely thickened intestines and marked weight loss.

Intestinal lymphangiectasia is differentiated by unusual distention of lymphatic vessels within the mucosa associated with exudation of protein-rich lymph into the intestine and severe malabsorption of long-chain fats. Mostly it occurs as a consequence of a localized or generalized lymphatic abnormality or an increased portal pressure in right-sided heart failure, caval obstruction or hepatic disease or tumor infiltration of lymphatics or lymph nodes. Lipogranulomatous inflammation due to lymphatic abnormalities may be seen as small white granules on the intestinal mesentery. In some cases, a generalized lymphatic abnormality may be apparent on lymphangiography. Crypt cysts and abscesses may also be observed in intestinal biopsies. Breeds commonly at risk are Yorkshire terrier, Soft coated wheaten terrier (SCWT) and Norwegian Lundehund supporting a familial cause in some dogs (Craven et al., 2009). Clinical signs occur as a result of intestinal loss of protein and range from weight loss to chronic diarrhea, vomiting, ascites, edema, and chylothorax.

The relationship between Helicobacter spp. infection and chronic gastritis in dogs and cats has always been an issue of debate. American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine (ACVIM) also concluded recently that Helicobacter spp. are very common in healthy as well as sick dogs and cats. Secondly, no direct relationship among Helicobacter spp. gastritis and clinical signs has been established. Thirdly Helicobacter gastritis varies in its severity and differentiated by a lymphoplasmacytic